

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 MERT DUYMAYAN,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 VALLEY HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:25-cv-00221-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case for
Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction**

[ECF No. 3]

8 On March 21, 2025, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss plaintiff Mert
9 Duymayan's complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. ECF No. 3. Duymayan did not
10 object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation.
11 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those
12 portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States*
13 *v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review
14 the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
15 otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation
17 (ECF No. 3) is accepted and plaintiff Mert Duymayan's complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is dismissed
18 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 9th day of April, 2025.

20 

21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23